



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/083,223 | 02/26/2002 | James A. Ford | 1370-021 | 4385 |

4678 7590 04/20/2004
MACCORD MASON PLLC
300 N. GREENE STREET, SUITE 1600
P. O. BOX 2974
GREENSBORO, NC 27402

EXAMINER

NICOLAS, FREDERICK C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3754

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/083,223 | Applicant(s) FORD, JAMES A. | |
| | Examiner Frederick C. Nicolas | Art Unit 3754 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-25,27-32 and 34-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-7,11-19,21,22,24,25,27,28,32,34-37,41-48,50,51 and 53-57 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8-10,20,23,29-31,38-40,49,52 and 58-60 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 3,26,33 are withdrawn in view of further review of the claimed subject matter of claims 3,26 and 33. Rejections based on the reference of Meyer et al. and Mercer 6,158,618 follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1,4-7,11,13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meyer et al. 5,874,787.

Meyer et al. disclose a fuel dispensing system (10), which comprises a plurality of underground storage tanks (18) for storing a plurality of fuel products (col. 4, ll. 32-34), at least one dispenser (14), at least one pump (20) for pumping fuel from the storage tanks to the dispenser, a pump controller (12) is connected to the dispenser and the pump having a plurality of individual selectors (38) for selecting a specific fuel product (col. 5, ll. 33-57), an emergency stop system (64) that includes at least one emergency stop actuator and at least one electrical power disconnecter (col. 7, ll. 17-55), the emergency stop actuator further includes a plurality of emergency stop actuators at various locations as seen in Figure 4, the electrical power disconnecter includes one for each dispenser and one for each pump (col. 5, ll. 25-60), an emergency system reset (40), the pump includes at least one pump per product (col. 4,

II. 32-45), and further including a plurality of pumps having at least one pump per product as seen Figure 1, a product nozzle (16), at least one dispenser pump control signal for activating an associated pump (col. 4, II. 50-52), and a control signal actuator (4, II. 54-57), where the control signal actuator is user actuated (col. 4, I. 54).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 17-19,21-22,24-25,28,32,34-37,41-48,50-51,53-55,57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mercer 6,158,618.

Mercer discloses a pump controller (30) for a fuel dispensing system having a plurality of storage tanks for storing a plurality of fuel products (col. 4, II. 60-66 and note: it is inherent that Mercer's fuel dispensing system contains a plurality of tanks in as much as the applicant's claimed invention), at least one dispenser (M), at least one submersible pump for pumping fuel from the storage tanks to the dispenser as seen in Figure 2, which comprises a plurality of individual selectors (30A and 30B) for selecting a specific fuel product (col. 3, II. 66-67 onto col. 4, II. 1-18), an interconnecting expandable length bus for connecting additional station components to one another (col. 4, II. 32-39 and col. 6, II. 26-30), the plurality of selectors includes bus input selectors and bus output selectors (col. 4, II. 32-40 and col. 5, II. 1-7), each bus input selector is associated with a pair of bus pump control signal lines (col. 4, II. 36-40), a

bus power supply (col. 4, ll. 15-25), a pump relay (col. 5, ll. 41-51), an emergency stop system as seen in Figure 2. Mercer lacks that the plurality of electrical isolators upstream of the plurality of selectors.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Mercer's plurality of electrical isolators upstream of the plurality of selectors, because applicant has not disclosed that having the plurality of electrical isolators upstream of the plurality of selectors provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the location of Mercer's plurality of electrical isolators, because Mercer's plurality electrical isolators control multiple dispensers.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Mercer to obtain the invention specified in claim 17.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer et al. 5,874,787 in view of Wright et al. 2,935,025.

Meyer et al. has taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the pump is a submersible pump. Wright et al. teaches the use of a submersible pump 50 in a fuel dispensing system as seen in Figures 1-2.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the pump of Meyer et al. with the pump (50) of Wright et al., in order to provide a pump assembly which is both effective and safe for use with highly volatile gasoline as taught by Wright et al. col. 1, ll. 66-71).

7. Claims 27,56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mercer 6,158,618 as applied to claims 17,55 above, and further in view of Schneider 4,719,532.

Mercer has taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the electrical isolators are optical isolators. Schneider teaches the use of a plurality of electrical isolators (col. 2, ll. 5-13), where the electrical isolators are optical isolators (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mercer's electrical isolators to be optical isolators as taught by Schneider (see abstract), in order to provide individual control voltages corresponding to the control inputs received from the control input sources.

8. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mercer 6,158,618 in view of Meyer et al. 5,874,787.

Mercer has taught all the features of the claimed invention except that the storage tanks are underground storage tanks. Meyer et al. show a fuel dispensing system (10) having storage tanks (18), where the storage tanks are underground storage tanks (col. 4, ll. 37-39).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to relocate Mercer's tanks as taught by Meyer et al. (col. 4, ll. 37-39), in order to store the fuel in large volumes.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 8-10,20,23,29-31,38-40,49,52,58-60 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 2/9/2004 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Any remaining arguments have been fully addressed in the above rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (703)-305-6385. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mancene L. Gene, can be reached on 703-308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/083,223

Page 7


Art Unit: 3754

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FN

April 19, 2004



4/19/04

Frederick C. Nicolas
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3754